PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file re	eference		
W 3081-115	FOR FURTH	IER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416
International application No		ng date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/SE2004/001126	12.07.2004		18.07.2003
International Patent Classi A21B1/48	fication (IPC) or national classification	on and IPC	
Applicant INTER IKEA SYSTE	MS B.V. et al.		
Authority under A	rticle 35 and transmitted to the a	applicant according to Artici	this International Preliminary Examining e 36.
2. This REPORT co	nsists of a total of 6 sheets, inc	luding this cover sheet.	
	accompanied by ANNEXES, o		
	applicant and to the Internation		
and/br	s of the description, claims and sheets containing rectifications istrative instructions).	or drawings which have been authorized by this Authorite	n amended and are the basis of this report y (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the
☐ sheets beyon	which supersede earlier sheet	s, but which this Authority c onal application as filed, as	onsiders contain an amendment that goes indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the
h ☐ (sent to th	e International Bureau only) a to	otal of (indicate type and nu	mber of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a
Seguence	listing and/or tables related ther	eto, in computer readable f	orm only, as indicated in the Supplemental
Box Relati	ng to Sequence Listing (see Se	Ction 802 of the Administrat	ive instructions).
4. This report contain	ins indications relating to the fol	owing items:	• . •
☑ Box No. I	Basis of the opinion		
☐ Box No. II	Priority		
☐ Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion v	rith regard to novelty, inven	tive step and industrial applicability
☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention		
Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Art applicability; citations and expla	icle 35(2) with regard to nov anations supporting such st	velty, inventive step or industrial atement
☐ Box No. VI	Certain documents cited		
⊠ Box No. VII	Certain defects in the internation	onal application	
図 Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the int	ernational application	
		1 D-4 4	of the land and
Date of submission of the	demand	Date of completion	of this report
Date of submission of the 05.02.2005	demand .	Date of completion 23.06.2005	of this report
05.02.2005 Name and mailing addres	s of the international		of this report
05.02.2005 Name and mailing addres preliminary examining aut	s of the international	23.06.2005	of this report
05.02.2005 Name and mailing address preliminary examining aut European F D-80298 M	s of the international hority: Patent Office	23.06.2005	of this report

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/SE2004/001126

	Box No. I Basis of the report
1.	With regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	 □ This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of: □ international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) □ publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) □ international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)
2.	With regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):
	Description, Pages
	1-7 as originally filed
	Claims, Numbers
	1-13 as originally filed
	Drawings, Sheets 1/3-3/3 as originally filed
	a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing
3.	 □ The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: □ the description, pages □ the claims, Nos. □ the drawings, sheets/figs □ the sequence listing (specify): □ any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):
4.	☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)). ☐ the description, pages
	☐ the description, pages ☐ the claims, Nos. ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs ☐ the sequence listing (specify): ☐ any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):
	* If item 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."

International application No. PCT/SE2004/001126

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-13

No:

Inventive step (IS)

Claims Yes: Claims

1-13

Claims No:

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-13

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

PCT/SE2004/001126

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US-A-4 987 828

D2: US-A-6 147 336

D3: US-A-4 565 704

The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 1, and shows (the references in parentheses applying to this document): a device (for making omelets), the device having <u>plates of magnetic material and</u> a conveyor (for transferring <u>said</u> plates linearly through the device from a position for supplying each plate with omelet batter to a position for removal of a finished omelet from the device), wherein at least two separately controllable induction heaters are positioned under the conveyor in two treatment stations.

The subject-matter of claim 1 differs from this known device in that at least one infrared heater is positioned above the conveyor.

The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore new (Article 33(2) PCT).

The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as to provide an additional browning of the top of the omelets.

The solution to this problem proposed in claim 1 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) as it is not rendered obvious by any of the available prior art documents.

Claims 2-12 are dependent on claim 1 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.

The document D1 is also regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of

claim 13, and shows (the references in parentheses applying to this document): a method (for making omelets in a device according to one of the claims 1-12), in which plates are transferred linearly through the device from a position for supplying each plate with omelet batter to a position for removal of a finished omelet from the device, whereby primary coagulation of the omelet batter is performed by separately controllable induction heaters from below in at least two treatment stations.

The subject-matter of claim 13 differs from this known method in that browning of the top surface of the omelet <u>is performed</u> by infrared heating from above.

The subject-matter of claim 13 is therefore new (Article 33(2) PCT).

The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as to provide a better foodstuff-preparing result.

The solution to this problem proposed in claim 13 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) as it is not rendered obvious by any of the available prior art documents.

Re Item VII:

Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the documents D1-D3 is not mentioned in the description, nor are these documents identified therein.

Although claims 1 and 13 are drafted in the two-part form the characterizing features (see above) are incorrectly placed in the characterising portion, as they are disclosed in document D1 in combination with the features placed in the preamble (Rule 6.3(b) PCT).

Re Item VIII:

The underlined passages in the wording of the claims (see above) should have been

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/SE2004/001126

introduced/adapted/amended for the sake of clarity of the concerned claims.